



VICTORIA UNIVERSITY
IN THE UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

VICTORIA UNIVERSITY
STUDENTS' ADMINISTRATIVE COUNCIL
95 CHARLES ST WEST - ROOM 5A
TORONTO, ON M5S 1K9
CANADA
T 416-585-4473
F 416-585-4462
INFO@VUSAC.CA
WWW.VUSAC.CA

VUSAC MEETING MINUTES

November 1st, 2017 at 5PM in the Goldring Student Center

In Attendance

Judiciary

PRESIDENT: Zahavah Kay
VP STUDENT ORGANIZATIONS: Nickolas Shyshkin
VP INTERNAL: Artimes Ghahremani
VP EXTERNAL: Katie Cohen

Assessor Members

CHAIR: Sayeh Yousefi
CHIEF RETURNING OFFICER: Stephanie Xu
SECRETARY: Emma Lailey
COMMUNICATIONS: Jade Koh
FINANCE: Graham Allison

Commissioners

SCARLET AND GOLD: Stephanie Spagnuolo
SUSTAINABILITY: Jared Connoy
ARTS AND CULTURE: Blanaid McNally
EQUITY: Shailee Koranne
ACADEMIC AND PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT: Zoe Ritchie

Councillors

Alexa Ballis
Vibhuti Kacholia
Devon Wilton
Ally Johnston
Cynthia Wong

Guests

UTSU VICTORIA COLLEGE DIRECTOR: Jayde Jones
CAT'S EYE REPRESENTATIVE: Berenice Alvarez
ASSOCIATE DEAN: Krista Steeves
Adrian Huntelar

Regrets

James Hannay

Cameron Davies

Haleema Khan

COMMUTER: Gabriel Calderon

Land Recognition: Sayeh (1 minute)

I would like to acknowledge this sacred land on which the University of Toronto operates. It has been a site of human activity for 15,000 years. This land is the territory of the Huron-Wendat and Petun First Nations, the Seneca, and most recently, the Mississaugas of the Credit River. The territory was the subject of the Dish With One Spoon Wampum Belt Covenant, an agreement between the Iroquois Confederacy and the Confederacy of the Ojibwe and allied nations to peaceably share and care for the resources around the Great Lakes. Today, the meeting place of Toronto is still the home to many Indigenous people from across Turtle Island and we are grateful to have the opportunity to work in the community, on this territory.

Motion: Zahavah moves to approve the minutes from the VUSAC Meeting on October 20th, 2017 as it stands. Blanaid seconds. Motion passes.

- Favour: ALL
- Abstained: None
- Opposed: None

Additions to the Agenda (maximum 3 minutes per addition)

- Zahavah adds two minutes for general update
- Stephanie adds to motion to give Krista speaking rights

Motion: Katie moves to approve the agenda as it stands. Devon seconds. Motion passes.

- Favour: ALL
- Abstained: None
- Opposed: None

[Nick, Jared enters]

Pressing Items:

Motion: Zoe moves the following: "Whereas a representative of You Decide is present at today's meeting for the purpose of communicating the campaign's goals to VUSAC for their consideration, Be it resolved that this representative, Adrian Huntelar, be granted speaking rights for the duration of the discussion item no. 1." Devon seconds. Motion passes.

- Favour: ALL
- Abstained: None
- Opposed: None

- Cat's Eye Constitutional Amendments - Sayeh (2 minutes)
-

Motion: Zahavah moves to approve the Cat's Eye Constitutional Amendments for the 2017-2018 school year. Shailee seconds. Motion passes.

- Favour: ALL

- Abstained: None
- Opposed: None

Motion: Nick moves to omnibus the Wing Chun Jing Jung Association and Open Score club for ratification for the 2017-2018 school year. Shailee seconds. Motion passes.

- Favour: ALL
- Abstained: None
- Opposed: None

Motion: Nick moves to ratify the Wing Chun Jing Jung Association and Open Score club for the 2017-2018 school year. Devon seconds. Motion passes.

- Favour: ALL
- Abstained: None
- Opposed: None

Discussion Items:

- VUSAC involvement in You Decide campaign - Jayde (25 minutes)

[Summary] Hello everyone! This is Adrian, who is spearheading the You Decide efforts this year. To start, I'll explain our intended process. First, we'll be presenting the You Decide campaign to council verbally right now, then I will also be speaking about it at Caucus. Depending on how those discussions go, we're interested in seeking a member of council to motion for VUSAC to officially support You Decide at your next meeting. I will be sending materials about You Decide to council in between today and next meeting so that all members, including those not present, have the time and information to make their decision.

I'll now quickly explain what the Canadian Federation of Students (CFS) is. As full-time undergrads, we all pay fees to the CFS, which is essentially a student union for student unions. The St. George Campus alone sends over half a million dollars in fees to the CFS every year. At You Decide, we want to give students the opportunity to re-evaluate our membership with CFS, which we haven't done since we first voted to join the federation 2002. But, here's more from Adrian.

Adrian: Thank you to Jayde and Hambo for their assistance in this process, VUSAC is lucky to have them. I will not motivate on my personal feelings, but I want to get into the nitty gritty about how Vic can get involved with You Decide. This campaign was active last year, and is now active again. It is divided between a provincial and national petition because there is a CFS Ontario and National. You need to sign both petitions.

There are three streams of action in terms of how to get the message out: The first are class visits, where we give a short presentation at the start of a lecture and then volunteers pass around petitions. The second is having our volunteers roam and collect individual (this is easier in the summer when it's not so cold out). The third, and the stream I'd like to shift the focus to for this year, is a collaboration between You Decide and university divisions. Because you know best how your students function and what they want to support, we want to partner with you in order to get our message out; which we believe is the most effective way of going about it. Hosting events like exam de-stressors with food, and having the petition present, is the kind of programming we envision. The folks in this room have the final say in if they want to support it.

Our end goal is to get signatures. This is not a ploy against the CFS. We simply believe that students should have the opportunity to decide for themselves. As of now, everyone in this room individually pays an annual 16\$ membership fee.

[Jared leaves]

No one who was a part of the decision to join the CFS is still on campus. You Decide is strictly focused on getting signatures in order to give students the opportunity to vote for themselves. We need 15% of the membership signatures to initiate the referendum process. That is about seven thousand signatures to start the referendum. They (the CFS) are legally bound to hold a referendum with this amount of signatures, once we collect the signatures it's an automatic process.

Jayde: One thing VUSAC could do to concretely support You Decide, that they have decided not to do in the past, is keeping the petition on hand during office hours and collecting signatures then. Because this has been a topic of much discussion for past councils, I would encourage everyone to take some time between now and next meeting to collect your thoughts.

[Jared enters]

(Jayde con't:) This is a democratic exercise. The floor is now open for discussion.

Katie: What's the timeline for the campaign? What is making this effort different?

Adrian: The absolute last deadline is April 15th for signatures. This is because there is a requirement of having the signature list six months in advance of intended referendum date. The intended referendum date is October 16-20 next year. We are planning on having a big push during the exam prep period and exam period itself. Tabling at the exam center was very successful last year. On the second thing, this petition has been active for two years. The difference is that it is a different group of people in charge. Last year, there was a lot of internal debate about who had the right to use You Decide as a platform for other things. The people involved this year are just interested in getting signatures because they believe in the idea of students having the right to decide for themselves. It is also a smaller group in charge.

Jayde: For me, the biggest lesson learned is to start early. Last year the biggest push came from class talks in April and tabling at the Exam Centre during exam period. This year, You Decide's strategy is to start those big push initiatives in first semester and carry them through all the way through, as well as seeking the support of groups like VUSAC.

Stephanie: Last year there was an issue where we can't initiate a petition if it's affiliated with the UTSU; like the Cape Breton indicate. Can you speak on that?

Adrian: The only requirement that the bylaws have is that the petition may not be initiated by a member of the UTSU. We are in the clear. This is also not the only defederation referendum in Ontario. Charlton, currently has one and it was initiated eternally by a student.

Katie: If you are successful, can CFS not trigger the referendum early (in July)?

Adrian: No referendum can be possible between April 15th and September 15th according to the bylaws.

Jayde: The Ontario one is the only one that requires you to list dates, but our intention is to submit both petitions at the same time to ensure the referendums happen concurrently.

Adrian: The intention is to submit the provincial and national petition at the same time.

Zahavah: How close to 7,000 did you get last year? How are we currently? What is the minimum threshold to vote?

Adrian: We don't have confirmed numbers from last year. All national signatures are still active from last year. As of now, our national presence is much stronger. In terms of provincial, we fell short last year. 20% not 15%. The last committee was unclear if they could submit it. We are currently at 1,200 signatures. That is more than 1/7th of the way! The bulk of our work is still ahead of us. We are ahead in comparison to last year! A minimum of 10% of membership must vote for it to count.

Stephanie: If this all works out and we get the signatures, will the referendum that the CFS puts out be available online or just physical?

Adrian: It will be physical voting in voting booths, run by CFS. We have requested that they move to online voting and they have rejected our request.

Jayde: I was at the NGM this summer, and it has been over two years now that online voting has been in discussion.

Stephanie: If they are run by CFS officials, would the next step for You Decide to be to hold them accountable? I don't have much trust for them.

Jayde: I want to give people the chance who haven't heard about You Decide before to have a chance to discuss/think about if they should support it and I don't want to overwhelm anyone with super specific questions. That being the case, is it okay Stephanie, if I don't answer your question right now but address during later discussions instead?

Sayeh: I am going to limit each speaker to one question from this point on, for the sake of time.

Zahavah: If it does come to a 10% vote is it a simple majority?

Adrian: Yes

Stephanie: Is it possible to stay in the national CFS and leave provincial CFS?

Adrian: One or the other is possible, although my intention is to submit both referendums at the same time. With the 10% threshold, it would be difficult to have students go to two referendums.

Devon: If you reach the correct amount of signatures, would there be a separate campaign in order to get students to vote a specific way during the referendum?

Adrian: The local student union is a campaigner, UTSU can also step into the campaign, You Decide Team can make the decision to be active in the campaign...But our goal right now is just to get the signatures.

Zoe: You're asking us to motivate conversations—how do you expect us to answer these questions and direct these conversations?

Jayde: If asked why re-evaluating membership is something students should support, it is admittedly difficult to avoid presenting the case for leaving the federation. In these instances, I would recommend that VUSAC explain that there are two options for advocacy work. There is the style of the CFS which is to produce national campaigns that look the same on every campus or we can develop localized campaigns that are specific to U of T and won't necessarily look the exact way they do at Ryerson or Carleton. It is a tough question to answer while staying on You Decide's non-partisan message, though.

Motion: Zahavah moves to extend discussion time on the VUSAC involvement in You Decide campaign for 5 minutes. Zoe seconds. Motion Passes.

- Favour: ALL
- Abstained: None
- Opposed: None

[Shailee leaves]

Zahavah: What would VUSAC support for You Decide look like tangibly?

Jayde: We really want to emphasize our respect for the fact that Vic students know what would be the most effective outreach for Vic students. Though I think there is room for us to support VUSAC if VUSAC support us. Some of the ways we see collaboration happening includes collecting signatures at office hours, which could be really effective. Or we could have the petition present at a small exam de-stressor event hosted for the purposes of collection, or have You Decide table at VOCA Pancakes, as other examples. Ultimately, I don't know if it's our place to make those specific decisions though.

Steph: When you are collecting signatures, it is the name on the T-card, correct?

Adrian: Yes, because all the signatures will be audited by a third party. They will take a look at the membership list, and compare it to the petition to ensure the name and student number matches the enrollment documents.

Jayde: The signature doesn't count if it's not.

Devon: How many divisions and which other colleges have formally supported You Decide?

[Shailee enters]

Adrian: I am going to talk to engineering society after this. I've gotten email responses from Trinity and New College. There is initial support for this. It will look different at all colleges and divisions.

Jayde: Being completely honest, pretty much everyone on the UTSU board has an opinion about CFS membership this year and was elected on a campaign that made that position explicit, so I would anticipate support being broad across campus. Also, I completely forgot to mention, but Hambo sends his regrets that he couldn't make it here tonight!

In the last few seconds of our time I just want to note that, a people have conversations about You Decide and what to decide, please feel free to reach out if you have any questions!

- Constitutional Amendments - Zahavah (10 minutes)

[Summary] I want to continue our discussion on constitutional amendments from our last meeting. There are three specific amendments I want to discuss. To go over those quickly, and starting with in-camera meetings. Based on the feedback, what I am proposing is an in-camera meeting requires a 50% +1 majority, will be capped at 10 minutes, and a statement be released by President and Chair after the meeting. The summary will not be in the minutes, and the statement would be included in the formal minutes and on website. Second amendment I wanted to go over: I want to propose that we change the name of the Academic and Professional Development Commissioner, because it is too long. Third thing: we should increase the number of first year commissioner positions from two to four. It is easier for upper years to impress, and that shouldn't be seen as a detriment, because 99% of that knowledge first years will learn within their first year at Vic. This is what I want to discuss.

Zoe: I'm in full support of upping first year reps. For the new Academic and Professional Development Commissioner, I think that would be a good idea. I personally have no preference to Academic or Development, but I like to know that people understand that I do both. I believe that

most go under “Academic Commissioner,” that would probably encompass better than Professional Development.

Shailee: I love upping the number of first year reps, Academic Commissioner makes more sense than Development Commissioner. A lot of other councils, like you say, use the title Academic Commissioner. It is also important to make sure changing the name doesn’t make us lose data. One thing I want to put forward is the motion to change “Secretary” to “Office Manager.”

Jayde: A point on the name and then a point on the first year positions. I know the Scarlet and Gold title is one and one, so perhaps Academic and Career or Academic and Professional could work for APD? And with regards to reserving first year councillor positions, I’m going to come out as pretty strongly against it. I think it’s fundamental undemocratic to allot 4 of 8 available councillor positions to any group with one specific characteristic. If we start narrowing in on specific characteristics it restricts voters’ ability to actually make a choice. I also don’t think it’s a very forward-looking amendment to make in terms of building a sustainable constitution. If the issue is first year engagement I think, as council has done a great job demonstrating thus far this year, there are many ways to engage the first year population and get them involved. I think VUSAC should get creative in their outreach efforts before amending their constitution.

Blanaid: In regards to the names of commission, I think that Academic Growth Commissioner could work; something that merges the two. In terms of first year amendment, I’m in favour. I want to up first year voice so that they get more involved and have more confidence in VUSAC.

Vibhuti: Question about in camera meetings? Could you explain what that is?

Zahavah: Sure! So in-camera meetings are not very well outlined in the constitution. In an open meeting, if a voting member were to move to go in-camera, that would mean that only voting members, the chair and secretary, can stay in the room and a separate minutes page would be sent to outside commissioners and everything in the room is confidential. A separate minutes page would be created and everything within the enclosed meeting would be confidential. Now currently, VUSAC doesn’t outline our procedure for that. In-camera meetings are not common. But in theory, we could use it to be secretive.

Motion: Devon moves to extend discussion time on the draft of constitutional amendments for five minutes. Jared seconds. Motion Passes.

- Favour: ALL
- Abstained: None
- Opposed: None

Zahavah: In response to Jayde (from an earlier comment), in multiple other councils, there are separate runnings for first year and upper year councillors in their own slot. The upper year students running typically have a greater likelihood of being on council.

Katie: I don’t agree with upping first year representative numbers to 50% of council members, that just isn’t fair. We barely had upper years running for council this year, we had to fill the positions later. I think increasing the amount to 50% for first years would discourage upper years from running even more. I would maybe consider three first year representative.

Shailee: Something to bring up: one of the bonuses of raising the number of first year councillors, is the increasement of numbers during turnover. Councillor positions are primarily functioned to teach councillors about VUSAC and Vic, and because it is a learning type position, first years would benefit the most. Because the councillor positions job is about learning about Vic and assist other commissioners, I feel that first years would benefit the most.

Devon: In regards to the in-camera discussion, I think the statement release in 24 hours is a good idea. Still, having it written only by the chair and the president may be an issue. Perhaps there

should be a way for the person who the in-camera was inspired for should have an opportunity for them to edit the statement. About the first year councillors, I thought there were more upper years than first years running, but perhaps I was wrong. Just for perspective, my upper year friends know nothing about VUSAC. It will make it more difficult for upper years to get involved if there are more first year positions. That might be sometime to consider.

Motion: Zahavah moves to extend discussion time on the draft of constitutional amendments for 5 minutes. Zoe seconds. Motion Passes.

- Favour: ALL
- Abstained: None
- Opposed: None

Jared: Do we know how many first year councillors from this year?

Zahavah: Two.

[Artimes leaves]

Jayde: The current format for in-camera meetings should better and more specifically address what legitimate reasons there are for moving in camera. Further, releasing a statement is not an in-camera session under Roberts' Rules; it's a closed session. In short, I don't know that this would do what council intends for it to do and while council can choose to what it will, I think it should discuss that fact before passing any amendments. With respect to councillor positions: separating upper year and first year positions is certainly an improvement and eases some of my concerns. I wonder, however, if it would be more prudent to first investigate VUSAC's current election process – do we elect designated first year councillors in a separate election cycle? Or do we run the election as a whole and, if two first years are elected, just leave it at that? Because there are tangible differences between those two processes and ones that could potentially alleviate the concerns raised about first-year representation without changing the constitution.

[Artimes enters]

Beyond that, I worry about the implications of marketing the councillor position as a growing position. It's true that it's a great role for gaining experience, but it shouldn't be the case that councillors must necessarily go on to be commissioners or executives – in fact, many of them don't. And, as Katie has demonstrated in her role as VPE this year, you can do a phenomenal job in an executive role without prior council experience. In light of constant criticisms of VUSAC being insular, I worry about formalizing the councillor position as an upward mobility one is a bad idea. I also want to quickly say that councillor positions are not just training wheels positions – councillors can and do execute some great work independent of commissioners and executives.

The councillor position is a great growing position. But, it is not necessary for commissioners to have been councillors. Councillors don't necessarily need to go on to be VUSAC staff, commissioners or judiciary. I would worry about making the position more formalized, that it is a training wheels position. There shouldn't be an expectation that councillors are to go on to hold other positions in VUSAC in the future. You can do a phenomenal job without being on council priorly; such as the wonderful Katie.

Zahavah: To comment on the 50% of the councillors; it really isn't 50% and if our elections are all contested then there is not a chance. If we have four years of students, then we need equal

representation from each year. If we were to have four of our councillors, (Graham double checks the math) 22%, in the four year breakdown.

Motion: Zahavah moves to extend discussion time on the draft of constitutional amendments for five minutes. Zoe seconds. Motion Passes.

- Favour: ALL
- Abstained: None
- Opposed: None

Alexa: I want to echo that having first year councillor positions and upper year positions is a good idea as it encourages both groups to run. It also kind of gets rid of the idea that if you're an upper year you should of been in council already. That way the two groups are not running against each other.

Artimes: I'm interested to hear what the first years in the room have to say about this. Perhaps we can hear some input in how to get more first year involvement.

Vibhuti: In regards to how voting works for councillors, after voting, it is a good idea to extend the member of councillors, like a tiered system, because four is a high number. Three is a good number for the representation. There were a lot of first years who ran.

Ally: Also, I think making it four people and having that system of turnover might discourage second years. The nature of the elections process in first year is overwhelming. People forgot about it and don't have time. Three councillors is a good idea.

Devon: You could change the requirements for first year commissioners in order to make it easier on them. Such as the number of signatures? I like the idea of four first year positions, for ideal representation. More first years would be good.

Blanaid: Even if you just have upper year elections, for first years we'd have to see how it works.

Zahavah: They would be at the same time, not two elections.

Blanaid: Townhall all together? Sorry, I was confused.

Jared: As far as I can see, there are no benefits for increasing the number of first year councillors beyond increased representation; allotted first year positions.

Jayde: To address Artimes' point directly, it could maybe be beneficial to talk to other clubs and levies' first year representatives about what they're doing.

Motion: Zahavah moves to schedule a five minute recess. Jared seconds. Motion passes.

- Favour: ALL
- Abstained: None
- Opposed: None

STAFF MEMBER UPDATES

Finance Chair - Graham A

- [No update]

Chief Returning Officer - Stephanie X

- [No update]

Communications Director - Jade K

- Update on VUSAC Sweaters

I am looking to get your opinions on what you want to see on the sweaters. If you want the VUSAC logo,

words, or specific colours? This is open for discussion.

Zahavah: I want a quarter zip, with the logo and positions.

Steph: What were the colours you had in mind?

Jade: Scarlet and gold, or something very generic.

Artimes: I wouldn't want it to be too similar to Don sweaters.

Jared: I think it should be scarlet and gold. Quarter zip is a good idea, with position titles.

Devon: What about the colour of Frosh shirts? It was maroon.

Katie: I trust whatever you design, because everything you do is beautiful.

[applause]

Jade: Would it be okay with "VUSAC" and the logo?

Motion: Jared moves to move Katie's VP External update to next agenda item for the sake of Caucus time. Artimes seconds. Motion passes.

- Favour: ALL
- Abstained: None
- Opposed: None

COMMISSION UPDATES

Academic & Professional Development - Zoe R

- LinkedIn and 10,000 coffees event update (3 minutes)

[Summary] My first event was successful, thank you to everyone who helped me with advertising. I encourage members to register for 10,000 coffees. It is a small LinkedIn for the Vic community. All alumni who sign up commit to having three coffees. I am also offering to edit linkedin pages, navigate the system, and give 10,000 coffees virtual tours on my office hours; Thursday mornings. Encourage people to come visit me. I am friendly and love helping others.

Arts and Culture - Blanaid M

- [No update]

Commuter Commissioner - Gabriel C

- [No update]

Equity Commissioner - Shailee K

- General update

I will be saving the general equity update for Caucu, but I wanted everyone who was on the retreat to reflect on the equity training. I will open up the floor for discussion about the training; for clubs and levies.

Zoe: Thank you for setting it up! I thought it was the most valuable part of the day. Looking at personalized events brought it home for me, and made me think more concretely about the events we hold.

Shailee: I am glad to hear that it was valuable, because that would be the framework for clubs training.

Stephanie: That part of the session was great. I think we should be given more time to look over the document. Also, while I found the getting to know you aspect of it really bonding, I would feel more comfortable doing that with a group like VUSAC versus a general club.

Katie: Going through that package with more detail would of be beneficial.

Scarlet & Gold - Stephanie S

- [No update]

Sustainability Commissioner - Jared Connoy

- Update (2 minutes)

I've created an infographic for the Burwash food waste campaign. I am currently waiting on input. We are meeting with pysh plant about composting. There is no composting at Vic, which is very disappointing. That being said, it has been something in the works for many years and has been constantly rejected. The reality that Vic isn't composting needs to be seriously addressed. On Nov. 21st, there will be a pot planting event from 4-7pm. On Nov. 29th there will be a documentary screening; time and film will be announced later. I also met with president of fair trade U of T.

JUDICIARY UPDATES

VPSO - Nickolas Shyshkin

- Update (6 minutes)

This is my first meeting— *[applause]*

You all kind of deserve an update from me. So, I'm trying to get clubs ratified. I'm mostly getting in contact with the clubs and levies. I've held one levy-wide meeting. In the works, I am redoing the club handbook and trying to fix the second club storage that nobody knows about. I'm also redoing the lockers that have not been in use, since Emma found the keys— *[applause]* Emma has been awesome and helpful. Jade is my hero. Graham just *knows*. I am also hoping to do more social media spotlights, more often.

Zoe: What's the minimum threshold for when a group of students wants to be a club, for membership?

Nick: There are a lot of clubs with one or two people, and I am in full support of the grow as you go start up. That is because reaching out to the Vic community is what matters the most. Not people limit.

Jayde: I like allowing clubs to grow, but is there a constitutional requirement?

Zahavah: 70%, but that is not always the case. I was advised to play it by ear a little bit. We interpreted that as, if your club primarily benefits Vic students, play it by ear.

Zoe: My question was one of constitutionality.

Nick: Hopefully by the end of the year we can fix the 70%.

VP Internal - Artimes G

- [no update]

VP External - Katie C

- General Update

In regards to the info-graph series I mentioned a few weeks ago and haven't really brought up since because of the merch line, this is a new thing VUSAC is doing. Over reading break, I will be posting about both with a blurb.

Additions:

President - Zahavah K

- General update (2 minutes)

First, I want to straw poll the room about whether I should share our calendar (the one created during the VUSAC retreat) with the levies and clubs, or make a separate one for everyone. Also, (to everyone) you are supposed to be attending three events hosted by clubs and levies each semester. Please send me an email to let me know which events you have attended, so we are all on track with supporting clubs and levies.

Zoe: Can we have a week to finalize the timeline (for the group calendar). My commission plans will change in a week.

Katie: Nick and I are also working on a formal calendar.

Zahavah: Okay! I will not do it then.

Sayeh: Time is almost exhausted, is there anything else you'd like to say?

Zahavah: LOVE YOU ALL! In the minutes please.

Motion: Katie moves to adjourn meeting. Shailee seconds. Motion passes.

- Favour: ALL
- Abstained: None
- Opposed: None